**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARACHAL AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No. 4036 of 2001 (S/S)**
**(Old No. 25571 of 1993**

1. Raj Kumar, S/o Ramesh Chandra
   R/o Village Bahadrabad
   Tehsil and District Haridwar.
2. Shakti Singh, S/o Ajmer Singh
   R/o Village Bongla
   Thesil and District Haridwar ……Petitioners

**Versus**

1. Secretary, Ministry of Revenue
   Government of U.P., Lucknow.
2. The Collector, District Haridwar.
3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Tehsil Haridwar
   District Haridwar. ……Respondents.

**None present for the petitioner**
**Learned standing counsel for the respondents.**

**Hon'ble Perfulla C. Pant, J.**

None present for the petitioners.

2. The petitioners, who are working as Collection Peon with the respondent, have sought their regularization in service.

3. In view of the principle of law laid down in **Secretary, State of Karanataka Vs. Uma Devi, reported in (2006) 4 Supreme Court Cases, Pg. 01,** wherein it has been held that the workers on daily wages or the persons who get employed without undergoing regular selection process, should not be directed to be absorbed or regularised against the constitutional scheme of public employment, this Court is not

inclined to issue direction to regularize services of the petitioners, as such, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The writ petition is dismissed. Interim order, if any, stands vacated. (All pending applications also stand disposed of).

**Dt. 18.12.2006** **(Prafulla C. Pant, J)**

S